UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ι	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Marc	v. cus Deangelo Robertson, Jr. Defendant	Case No. 1:18-cr-00065-JTN
	ducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 1 to be detained pending trial.	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings of	Fact
	endant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.0 federal offense a state or local offense that would _ that is	
	crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), hich the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
ar	n offense for which the maximum sentence is death or li	ife imprisonment.
ar	n offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	or more is prescribed in:
	felony committed after the defendant had been convicted. S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of	ed of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
ar	ny felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruc a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2) The offe		ofondant was an release pending trial for a federal, state
or local	offense.	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	d of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	s (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that or the community. I further find that defendant has not r	t no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Finding	s (A)
(1) There is	probable cause to believe that the defendant has comm	mitted an offense
C	or which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	prescribed in:*
(2) The defe	nder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). endant has not rebutted the presumption established by sonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safe	y finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions
wiii reas	Alternative Finding	•
✓ (1) There is	s a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	s (b)
、 /	s a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of another person or the community.
(2)	Part II – Statement of the Reason	
I find that t	the testimony and information submitted at the detention	
	preponderance of the evidence that:	g
	ived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deten	
	s been in state custody and would not be released in an	
3. Defendant may	y bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cour	is alterition should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	April 13, 2018	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	